



# United States Patent and Try. Die RK Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/981,190 10/16/2001 7590 12/17/200		10/16/2001	Engelbert van Pelt	US 018170	5403
		12/17/2004		EXAM	INER
Corporate Pa			•	QUINONES	ISMAEL C
U.S. Philips Constant Section 1988 White Pla			(ED	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tarrytown, N	Y 1059	1	RECEIVED	2686	
			JAN 0 5 2005	DATE MAILED: 12/17/200	4
			Tasksalogy Center 2600		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/981,190	VAN PELT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ismael Quiñones	2686	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ju	<u>ıly 2004</u> .		
<u> </u>	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1.4.5 and 7-10 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1.4.5 and 7-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa		

Application/Control Number: 09/981,190

Art Unit: 2686

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on July 28, 2004.

Claims 1, 4-5, 7-10 are pending in the present application. This Action is made FINAL.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitation for generating a third audio signal in a second operational mode introduces new matter. The specification and drawings of the instant application fail to disclose either implicitly or explicitly the generation of a third audio signal. Applicant is welcomed to point out where in the specification or the drawings the Examiner can find support for the above said limitation if Applicant believes otherwise.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Art Unit: 2686

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 1, 4-5, 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wingate (U.S. Pat. No. 6,006,115).

Regarding claim 1, Wingate discloses a headset for connecting to an electronic audio device, said headset having a first unit comprising: a first sound transducer for reproducing audible sounds (speakers; Figs. 1 & 2, item 104); a first interface for receiving a first input signal (Receiving radio transmissions from a home stereo unit; col.

Art Unit: 2686

4, lines 9-14); and a first processor for processing the first input signal to generate a first audio signal in a first operational mode of the first unit (Fig. 3, item 301), said first processor supplying said first audio signal to said first sound transducer in said first operational mode (A signal processor for transmitting necessary signals for activating or disabling an operational mode, wherein the device can be provided with a switch for enabling the device to operate in one operational mode; col. 4, line 64 thru col. 5, line 25); wherein said headset further has a second unit connectable to said first unit (Figs. 1 & 2, items 104, 203, and 102), said second unit comprising: a second interface for receiving a second input signal in a second operational mode (Receiving an incoming call alert; col. 4, lines 21-26), different from the first operational mode of the headset a second sound transducer for reproducing audible sounds (The incoming call alert for operating the headset for answering or making phone calls; col. 4, line 55 thru col. 5, line 4); generating a second audio signal and a third audio signal in said second operational mode (Generating an audio signal for listening to the other party through the speakers, and another audio signal for responding to the other party through a microphone; col. 4, lines 55-63), supplying said second audio signal to said first unit for application to said first sound transducer (Supplying the audio signals to the speakers when either making a phone call or receiving a call; col. 4, line 21 thru col. 5, line 25), and for supplying said third audio signal to said second sound transducer (An audio signal generated by responding to the other party through the speakers; col. 4, line 21 thru col. 5, line 25).

Wingate fails to clearly specify a second processor for processing the second input signal.

Nonetheless the addition of a second processor for splitting the functionalities of a single processor does not render patentability or novelty because the functionalities or outcome for alternating different operational modes and supplying audio signals is not modified or different from that carried by a single processor. (See Duplan v. Deering Milliken, Inc., 197 USPO 342).

Regarding claim 4, and as applied to claim 1, Wingate disclose the aforementioned headset. In addition the feature of a power source shared by the first and second unit in the second operational mode is inherent to Wingate (wireless headset; Figs. 1 & 2).

Regarding claim 5, and as applied to claim 1, Wingate disclose the aforementioned headset wherein at the least the first unit or the second unit has memory (A voice system for recognizing voice commands programmed in said system; col. 5. lines 5-20); and the first and second units functionally share the memory in the second operational mode (Speaking commands through a microphone for initiating a call by dialing an indicated party number; col. 5, lines 15-20).

Regarding claim 7, and as applied to claim 1, Wingate disclose the aforementioned headset wherein at the least the first unit or the second unit has a user interface for enabling a user to control at least the first or the second audio signal (Speakers; Figs. 1 & 2, item 104); the first and second units functionally share the user interface in the second operational mode (Listening to the other party through the speakers when making a phone call or receiving a call; col. 4, line 21 thru col. 5, line 25).

Regarding claims 8 and 10, and as applied to claim 1, Wingate disclose the aforementioned headset wherein the first unit functions in one two operational mode both Art Unit: 2686

an earpiece for a mobile phone and/or an earpiece one channel of stereo audio (A wireless headphone receiving radio frequency transmissions from a sound system to provide music and other audio programming such as incoming calls or call initialization; col. 2, line 27 thru col. 3, line 45).

Regarding claim 9, and as applied to claim 8, Wingate disclose the aforementioned wherein said first unit further comprises a transceiver for wirelessly communicating with the mobile phone (Figs. 1 & 2, item 202).

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4, 5, 7-10 have been considered 8. but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/981,190

Art Unit: 2686

10. Any response to this Office Action should be faxed to (703) 872-9306 or mailed

to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Crystal Park II

2021 Crystal Drive

Arlington, VA 22202

Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication on earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Ismael Quiñones whose telephone number is (703) 305-8997. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00am to 5:00pm.
- 12. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Marsha D. Banks-Harold can be reached on (703) 305-4379, and fax number is (703) 746-9818. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9301.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose number is (703) 305-4700

Application/Control Number: 09/981,190

Art Unit: 2686

or call customer service at (703) 306-0377.

Ismael Quiñones

I.Q.

December 13, 2004

RAFACL PEREZ-GUTIERREZ
PATENT EXAMINER

# Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 09/981,190 Examiner Ismael Quiñones U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination VAN PELT ET AL. Page 1 of 1

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY		Name	Classification
+		US-6,006,115	12-1999	Wingate, Richard C.		455/575.2
$\dashv$	В	US-6,424,820	07-2002	Burdick et al.		455/41.1
-	С	US-6,374,126	04-2002	MacDonald et al.		455/569.1
-	D	US-6,470,197	10-2002	Tuoriniemi et al.		455/575.2
	E	US-6,606,506	08-2003	Jones, Albert C.		455/566
_	F	US-6,230,030	05-2001	Lu, Ming-Huei		455/569.2
_	G	US-6,519,475	02-2003	Kim, Soo-Ryeol		455/557
	Н	US-5,504,812	04-1996	Vangarde, Lawrence	A.	379/430
	"	US-				
	J	US-				
	K	US-				
	L	US-				
_	М	US-				
				FOREIGN PATENT DOC	CUMENTS	
*	Τ_	Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
_	<del></del>			T		ł

*	Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
N					
0					
Р					
a					
R					
s					
-					
\		L			1

#### **NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS**

		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition of Veterley,
	U	
	٧	
-	w	
	x	
1	1	

\*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)
Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

U.S. OFFICIAL MAIL PB ETER U.S. POSTAGE AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE \$300 PK2 IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS Bldg./Room\_ U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS **ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450** TC2600 OFFICIAL BUSINESS P.O. BOX 1450 Organization